

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/858,036	05/15/2001	Shinichi Kanno	31090.0015 2475		
75	90 12/18/2003		EXAMINER		
Ranjana Kadle			DROESCH, KRISTEN L		
Hodgson Russ I Suite 2000	LLP		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
One M&T Plaza			3762		
Buffalo, NY 1	14203-2391		DATE MAILED: 12/18/2003	. 8	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
~તં.	09/858,036		KANNO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Kristen L Dro	esch	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I. 1.136(a). In no event, eply within the statutor d will apply and will ex te. cause the applical	however, may a reply be tin y minimum of thirty (30) day kpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	October 2003.						
, <u> </u>	is action is non-	final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdreds 5) Claim(s) 6-15 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from cons						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 May 2001 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	a)⊠ accepted ne drawing(s) be ection is required	held in abeyance. Se if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the properties of the priority docume application from the International Bures of a claim for dome properties of the priority docume application from the International Bures of the priority docume application from the International Bures of the priority docume application from the International Bures of the priority docume application from the International Bures of the priority docume application from the International Bures of the priority docume application from the International Bures of the priority docume application from the International Bures of the priority docume application from the International Bures of the priority docume application from the International Bures of the priority docume application from the International Bures of the priority docume application from the International Bures of the priority docume application from the International Bures of the priority docume application from the International Bures of the priority docume application from the International Bures of the priority docume application from the International Bures of the priority docume application from the International Bures of the International Bures o	ents have been ents have been riority documenteau (PCT Rule estic priority und first sentence of provisional applestic priority und	received. received in Applicat ts have been received 17.2(a)). ed copies not receive ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(if the specification of lication has been receive ler 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	ion No ed in this National Stag ed. (e) (to a provisional app r in an Application Data ceived. (c) and/or 121 since a sp	lication) i Sheet. ecific			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, and 3- 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zanakis et al. (5,433,735).

Regarding claim 1, Zanakis et al. shows a method of increasing angiogenesis in a muscle tissue comprising the steps of applying electrical voltage to one or more areas of the muscle tissue, wherein the electrical voltage does not induce contraction of the muscle cells and wherein angiogenesis is induced after application of the electrical voltage (Col. 3, lines 60-64, Col. 5, lines 52-65; Col. 9, lines 7-19, 56-62).

With respect to claim 3, it is inherent that the muscle cells of a vessel wall musculature smooth muscle cells.

Regarding claim 4, Zanakis et al. shows the muscles are skeletal muscles (Col 9, lines 20-24)

Application/Control Number: 09/858,036 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

4

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zanakis et al.

 Zanakis et al. discloses the claimed invention except for the electrical voltage being 0.1V at

 50Hz. It would have been an obvious design choice to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the voltage and frequency as taught by Zanakis et al. with 0.1V at 50Hz, since applicant has not disclosed that this particular voltage and frequency provides any criticality and /or unexpected results and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with any voltage and frequency such as the voltage and frequency taught by Zanakis et al. et al. for inducing angiogeneis without inducing contraction of the muscle cells.
- Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zanakis et al. Zanakis et al. is as explained before. Zanakis et al. fails to specifically point out that the muscle cells are cardiac muscle cells but teaches that treatment of the damaged tissue can be anywhere in the body especially those tissues subject to the greatest chance of trauma (Col. 2, lines 19-24); Zanakis et al. also teaches that it is an object of the invention to promote blood perfusion in the damaged tissues (Col. 3, lines 19-24). It would have been an obvious design choice to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include cardiac muscle cells in the method of Zanakis et al. since it is well known that cardiac muscle cells are subject to ischemia

Art Unit: 3762

3

٠4.

(trauma) when a patient suffers a heart attack, and the promotion of blood perfusion in the damaged cardiac muscle cells would be beneficial to offsetting the effects of ischemia.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments, see paper No. 7, filed 10/29/03, with respect to the status of the Conrad Vlasak et al. reference qualifying as prior art have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 6-15 has been withdrawn.
- 7. Applicant's arguments, paper No. 7, filed 10/29/03, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-5 under 102 (e) ad 103 (a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Zanakis et al.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 5-15 are allowed. The prior art of record fails to teach or suggest a method of increasing Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF) or VEGF mRNA in a muscle cell by the application of electrical voltage to the muscle cell and where the electrical voltage does not cause contraction of the muscle cell.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kristen L Droesch whose telephone number is 703-605-1185. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 10:00 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angie Sykes can be reached on 703-308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/858,036

Kristen Droesch

Art Unit: 3762

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

kld

ANGELA D. SYKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Oingel. D. Ash